arXiv:math/0405558v2 [math.CV] 28 Jun 2006

AN EXTENSION OF UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS

Gerd Dethloff and Tran Van Tan

Abstract

In this paper, we give some results on the number of meromorphic mappings of $\mathbb{C}^m$ *into* $\mathbb{C}P^n$ *under a condition on the inverse images of hyperplanes in* $\mathbb{C}P^n$*. At the same time, we give an answer for an open question posed by H. Fujimoto in 1998.*

1 Introduction

In 1926, R. Nevanlinna showed that for two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, if they have the same inverse images for five distinct values, then $f = g$, and that g is a special type of a linear fractional tranformation of f if they have the same inverse images, counted with multiplicities, for four distinct values.

In 1975, H. Fujimoto [2] generalized Nevanlinna's result to the case of meromorphic mappings of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This problem continued to be studied by L. Smiley [9], S.Ji [5] and others.

Let f be a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and H be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\mathrm{im} f \not\subseteq H$. Denote by $v_{(f,H)}$ the map of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{N}_0$ such that $v_{(f,H)}(a)$ $(a \in \mathbb{C}^m)$ is the intersection multiplicity of the image of f and H at $f(a)$. Let k be a positive interger or $+\infty$. We set

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32 H 30.

Key words and phrases: uniqueness theorem, meromorphic mapping, linearly degenerate.

$$v^{k)}_{(f,H)}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad v_{(f,H)}(a) > k, \\ v_{(f,H)}(a) & \text{if} \quad v_{(f,H)}(a) \le k. \end{cases}$$

Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be q hyperplanes in general position with

(a) $\quad dim \left\{z : v^{k)}_{(f,H_i)}(z) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v^{k)}_{(f,H_j)}(z) > 0\right\} \le m-2$ for all $1 \le i < j \le q$.

For each positive integer p, denote by $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p\ )$ the set of all linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings g of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that:

(b) $\quad min\{v^{k)}_{(g,H_j)}, p\} = min\{v^{k)}_{(f,H_j)}, p\}$,

(c) $\quad g = f$ on $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{q} \left\{z : v^{k)}_{(f,H_j)}(z) > 0\right\}$.

In [5], S.Ji showed the following

Theorem J. ([5]) *If* $q = 3n+1$ *and* $k = +\infty$, *then for three mappings* $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1\big)$, *the mapping* $f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3 : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ *is algebraically degenerate, namely,* $\{(f_1(z), f_2(z), f_3(z)), z \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$ *is contained in a proper algebraic subset of* $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$.

In 1929, H. Cartan declared that there are at most two meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ which have the same inverse images (ignoring multiplicities) for four distinct values. However in 1988, N. Steinmetz ([10]) gave examples which showed that H. Cartan's declaration is false. On the other hand, in 1998, Fujimoto ([4]) showed that H. Cartan's declaration is true if we assume that meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ share four distinct values counted with multiplicities truncated by 2. He gave the following theorem

Theorem F. ([4]) *If* $q = 3n+1$ *and* $k = +\infty$ *then* $F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 2\big)$ *contains at most two mappings.*

He also proposed an open problem asking if the number $q = 3n+1$ in Theorem F can be replaced by a smaller one. Inspired by this question, in this paper we will generalize the above results to the case where the number $q = 3n+1$ is in fact replaced by a smaller one. We also obtain an improvement concerning truncating multiplicities.

Denote by Ψ the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{n^2+2n}$ which is defined by sending the ordered pair $((w_0, ..., w_n), (v_0, ..., v_n))$ to $(..., w_i v_j, ...)$ (in lexicographic order).

Let $h : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a meromorphic mapping. Let $(h_0 : ... : h_{n^2+2n})$ be a representation of $\Psi \circ h$. We say that h is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ given by the Segre embedding) if $h_0, ..., h_{n^2+2n}$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Our main results are stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *There are at most two distinct mappings in* $F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p\big)$ *in each of the following cases*:
i) $1 \le n \le 3, q = 3n+1, p = 2$ *and* $23n \le k \le +\infty$
ii) $4 \le n \le 6, q = 3n, p = 2$ *and* $\frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3} \le k \le +\infty$
iii) $n \ge 7, q = 3n-1, p = 1$ *and* $\frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6} \le k \le +\infty$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that* $q = \left[\frac{5(n+1)}{2}\right], (65n + 171)n \le k \le +\infty$, *where* $[x] := \max\{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \le x\}$ *for a positive constant* x*. Then one of following assertions holds :*
i) $\# F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1\big) \le 2.$
ii) *For any* $f_1, f_2 \in F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1\big)$, *the mapping* $f_1 \times f_2 : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ *is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in* $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ *given by the Segre embedding).*

We finally remark that we obtained similar uniqueness theorems with moving targets in [11], but only with a bigger number of targets and with much bigger truncations.

Acknowledgements: The second author would like to thank Professor Do Duc Thai for valuable discussions, the Université de Bretagne Occidentale for its hospitality and support, and the PICS-CNRS ForMathVietnam for its support.

2 Preliminaries

We set $\|z\| := (|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$ for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $B(r) := \big\{z : \|z\| < r\big\}$, $S(r) := \big\{z : \|z\| = r\big\}$, $d^c := \dfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$, $\upsilon := (dd^c\|z\|^2)^{m-1}$ and $\sigma := d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1}$.

Let F be a nonzero holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$. For an m-tuple $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of nonnegative integers, set $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m$ and $D^\alpha F := \dfrac{\partial^{|\alpha|} F}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_m^{\alpha_m}}$. We define the map $v_F : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by $v_F(z) := \max\big\{p : D^\alpha F(z) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha| < p\big\}$. Let k be a positive integer or $+\infty$. Define the map $v_F^{k)}$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$v_F^{k)}(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad v_F(z) > k, \\ v_F(z) & \text{if} \quad v_F(z) \le k. \end{cases}$$

Let φ be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$. We define the map $v_\varphi^{k)}$ as follows: For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$, choose nonzero holomorphic functions F and G on a neighbourhood U of z such that $\varphi = \frac{F}{G}$ on U and $dim\big(F^{-1}(0) \cap G^{-1}(0)\big) \le m - 2$. Then put $v_\varphi^{k)}(z) := v_F^{k)}(z)$. Set

$$\big|v_\varphi^{k)}\big| := \overline{\big\{z : v_\varphi^{k)}(z) 0\big\}}.$$

Define

$$N^{k)}(r, v_\varphi) := \int_1^r \frac{n^{k)}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where

$$n^{k)}(t) := \int_{\left|v_\varphi^{k)}\right| \cap B(t)} v_\varphi^{k)} \upsilon \quad \text{for} \quad m \ge 2$$

and

$$n^{k)}(t) := \sum_{|z| \le t} v_\varphi^{k)}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad m = 1.$$

Set $N(r, v_\varphi) := N^{+\infty)}(r, v_\varphi)$. For l a positive integer or $+\infty$, set

$$N_l^{k)}(r, v_\varphi) := \int_1^r \frac{n_l^{k)}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where $n_l^{k)}(t) := \int_{\left|v_\varphi^{k)}\right| \cap B(t)} min\big\{v_\varphi^{k)}, l\big\} \upsilon$ for $m \ge 2$ and $n_l^{k)}(t) := \sum_{|z| \le t} min\big\{v_\varphi^{k)}(z), l\big\}$ for $m = 1$. Set $\overline{N}(r, v_\varphi) := N_1^{+\infty)}(r, v_\varphi)$ and

$\overline{N}^{k)}(r, v_\varphi) := N_1^{k)}(r, v_\varphi)$. For a closed subset A of a purely $(m-1)$-dimensional analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$, we define

$$\bar{N}(r, A) := \int\limits_1^r \frac{\bar{n}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where

$$\bar{n}(t) := \begin{cases} \int\limits_{A \cap B(t)} \upsilon & \text{for } m \geq 2 \\ \#\left(A \cap B(t)\right) & \text{for } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : \cdots : w_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$, we take a reduced representation $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$ which means that each f_i is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^m$ and $f(z) = (f_0(z) : \cdots : f_n(z))$ outside the analytic set $\{f_0 = \cdots = f_n = 0\}$ of codimension ≥ 2.

Set $\|f\| := (|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2)^{1/2}$. The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r) := \int\limits_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma - \int\limits_{S(1)} \log \|f\| \sigma \ , \ r > 1.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function φ on C^m, the characteristic function $T_\varphi(r)$ of φ is defined by considering φ as a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

Let $H = \{a_0 w_0 + \cdots + a_n w_n = 0\}$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\text{im} f \not\subseteq H$. Set $(f, H) := a_0 f_0 + \cdots + a_n f_n$. We define

$$N_f^{k)}(r, H) := N^{k)}(r, v_{(f,H)}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{l,f}^{k)}(r, H) := N_l^{k)}(r, v_{(f,H)}).$$

Sometimes we write $\overline{N}_f^{k)}(r, H)$ for $N_{1,f}^{k)}(r, H)$, $N_{l,f}(r, H)$ for $N_{l,f}^{+\infty)}(r, H)$ and $N_f(r, H)$ for $N_{+\infty,f}^{+\infty)}(r, H)$.

Set $\psi_f(H) := \dfrac{\|f\| \left(|a_0|^2 + \cdots + |a_n|^2\right)^{1/2}}{(f, H)}$. We define the proximity function by

$$m_f(r, H) := \int\limits_{S(r)} \log |\, \psi_f(H) \,| \, \sigma - \int\limits_{S(1)} \log |\, \psi_f(H) \,| \, \sigma.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function φ, the proximity function is defined by

$$m(r,\varphi) := \int\limits_{S(r)} \log^+ \mid \varphi \mid \sigma.$$

We note that $m(r,\varphi) = m_\varphi(r,+\infty) + O(1))$ ([4], p.135).

We state the First and the Second Main Theorem of Value Distribution Theory.

First Main Theorem. *Let* $f : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ *be a meromorphic mapping and* H *a hyperplane in* $\mathbb{C}P^n$ *such that* $\operatorname{im} f \not\subseteq H$. *Then :*

$$N_f(r,H) + m_f(r,H) = T_f(r).$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function φ *we have :*

$$N(r, v_{\frac{1}{\varphi}}) + m(r,\varphi) = T_\varphi(r) + O(1).$$

Second Main Theorem. *Let* $f : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ *be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and* $H_1, ..., H_q$ *be hyperplanes in general position in* $\mathbb{C}P^n$. *Then:*

$$(q-n-1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{n,f}(r,H_j) + o(T_f(r))$$

except for a set $E \subset (1,+\infty)$ *of finite Lebesgue measure.*

The following so-called logarithmic derivative lemma plays an essential role in Nevanlinna theory.

Theorem 2.1. ([5], Lemma 3.1) *Let* φ *be a non-constant meromorphic function on* $\mathbb{C}^m$. *Then for any* i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, *we have*

$$m\Big(r, \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\varphi}{\varphi}\Big) = o(T_\varphi(r)) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty, \;\; r \notin E,$$

where $E \subset (1,+\infty)$ *of finite Lebesgue measure.*

Let F, G and H be nonzero meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^m$. For each l, $1 \le l \le m$, we define the Cartan auxiliary function by

$$\Phi^l(F,G,H) := F \cdot G \cdot H \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{F} & \frac{1}{G} & \frac{1}{H} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}\left(\frac{1}{F}\right) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}\left(\frac{1}{G}\right) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}\left(\frac{1}{H}\right) \end{vmatrix} .$$

By [4] (Proposition 3.4) we have the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let F, G, H be nonzero meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Assume that $\Phi^l(F,G,H) \equiv 0$ and $\Phi^l\Big(\dfrac{1}{F}, \dfrac{1}{G}, \dfrac{1}{H}\Big) \equiv 0$ for all l, $1 \le l \le m$. Then one of the following assertions holds*

i) $F = G$ or $G = H$ or $H = F$.

ii) $\dfrac{F}{G}, \dfrac{G}{H}, \dfrac{H}{F}$ *are all constant.*

3 Proof of the Theorems

First of all, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let $f_1, ..., f_d$ be linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then there exists a dense subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\diagdown\{0\}$ such that for any $c = (c_0, ..., c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$, the hyperplane H_c defined by $c_0\omega_0 + ... + c_n\omega_n = 0$ satisfies*

$$dim(f_i^{-1}(H_j) \cap f_i^{-1}(H_c)) \leqslant m-2 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, ..., d\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, ..., q\}.$$

Proof. We refer to [5], Lemma 5.1. □

Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1\big)$, for $q \ge n+1$. Set

$$T(r) := T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r) + T_{f_3}(r) .$$

For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, set $F_{ic}^j := \dfrac{(f_i, H_j)}{(f_i, H_c)}$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$.

Lemma 2. *Assume that there exist $j_0 \in \{1, ..., q\}, c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and a closed subset A of a purely $(m-1)$-dimensional analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$*

satisfying
1) $\quad \Phi^l_c := \Phi^l\big(F^{j_0}_{1c}, F^{j_0}_{2c}, F^{j_0}_{3c}\big) \not\equiv 0$, *and*
2) $\quad min\big\{v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}, p\big\} = min\big\{v^{k)}_{(f_2,H_{j_0})}, p\big\} = min\big\{v^{k)}_{(f_3,H_{j_0})}, p\big\}$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus A$,
where p *is a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j) + N^{k)}_{p-1,f_i}(r,H_{j_0}) &\leq N(r,v_{\Phi^l_c}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r,A) \\ &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r) + (p+2)\overline{N}(r,A) + o(T(r)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l = 1$. For an arbitrary point $a \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus A$ satisfying $v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) > 0$, we have $v^{k)}_{(f_i,H_{j_0})}(a) > 0$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. We choose a such that $a \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} f_i^{-1}(H_c)$. We distinguish between two cases, leading to equations (1) and (2).

Case 1. If $v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p$, then $v_{(f_i,H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. This means that a is a zero point of $F^{j_0}_{ic}$ with multiplicity $\geq p$ for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1_c = {} & F^{j_0}_{1c}F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{1c}F^{j_0}_{2c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{2c}}\Big) \\ & + F^{j_0}_{2c}F^{j_0}_{1c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{1c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{2c}F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big) \\ & + F^{j_0}_{3c}F^{j_0}_{2c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{2c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{3c}F^{j_0}_{1c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{1c}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $F^{j_0}_{1c}F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big) = \frac{-F^{j_0}_{1c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}F^{j_0}_{3c}}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}$, so a is a zero point of $F^{j_0}_{1c}F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big)$ with multiplicity $\geq p-1$. By applying the same argument also to all other combinations of indices, we see that
a is a zero point of Φ^1_c with multiplicity $\geq p-1$. (1)

Case 2. If $v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) \leq p$, then $p_0 := v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_2,H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_3,H_{j_0})}(a) \leq p$. There exists a neighborhood U of a such that $v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})} \leq p$ on U. Indeed, otherwise there exist a sequence $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $\lim_{s\to\infty} a_s = a$ and

$v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a_s) \geq p+1$ for all s. By the definition, we have $D^\beta(f_1,H_{j_0})(a_s)=0$ for all $|\beta| < p+1$. So $D^\beta(f_1,H_{j_0})(a) = \lim\limits_{s\to\infty} D^\beta(f_1,H_{j_0})(a_s) = 0$ for all $|\beta| < p+1$. Thus $v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) \geq p+1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})} \leq p$ on U.

We can choose U such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$, $v_{(f_i,H_{j_0})} \leq p$ on U and (f_i, H_c) has no zero point on U for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Then $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \leq p$ on U. So $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{2c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{3c}^{j_0} = 0\}$. Choose a such that a is regular point of $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\}$. By shrinking U we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function h on U such that dh has no zero point and $F_{ic}^{j_0} = h^{p_0}u_i$ on U, where $u_i (i=1,2,3)$ are nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on U (note that $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}}(a) = p_0$). We have

$$\Phi_c^1 = u_1 \frac{\big(u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_2 - u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_3\big) h^{p_0}}{u_2 u_3} + u_2 \frac{\big(u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_3 - u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_1\big) h^{p_0}}{u_3 u_1} + u_3 \frac{\big(u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_1 - u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} u_2\big) h^{p_0}}{u_1 u_2}.$$

So, we have
a is a zero point of Φ_c^1 with mulitplicity $\geq p_0$ (2)

By (1), (2) and our choice of a, there exists an analytic set $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ with codimension ≥ 2 such that $v_{\Phi_c^1} \geq \min\{v_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}, p-1\}$ on

$$\big\{z : v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(z) > 0\big\} \setminus (M \cup A). \tag{3}$$

For each $j \in \{1,\dots,q\} \setminus \{j_0\}$, let a (depending on j) be an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}(a) > 0$ (if there exist any). Then $v^{k)}_{(f_i,H_j)}(a) > 0$ for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, since $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathcal{F}_k\big(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1\big)$. We can choose $a \notin f_i^{-1}(H_c) \cup f_i^{-1}(H_{j_0})$, $i=1,2,3$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of a such that $v_{(f_i,H_j)} \leq k$ on U and (f_i,H_{j_0}), (f_i,H_c) ($i=1,2,3$) have no zero point on U. We have $B := f_1^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = f_2^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = f_3^{-1}(H_j) \cap U$ and $\dfrac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = \dfrac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = \dfrac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}$ on B. Choose a such that a is a regular point of B. By shrinking U, we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function h on U such that dh has no zero point and $U \cap \{h=0\} = B$. Then $\dfrac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} - \dfrac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h\varphi_2$ and $\dfrac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} - \dfrac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h\varphi_3$ on U where φ_2, φ_3 are holomorphic functions on U.

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0}F_{2c}^{j_0}F_{3c}^{j_0}\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} & h\varphi_2 & h\varphi_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}}\right) & \varphi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}h + h\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\varphi_2 & \varphi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}h + h\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\varphi_3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= F_{1c}^{j_0}F_{2c}^{j_0}F_{3c}^{j_0}h^2\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_2 & \varphi_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\varphi_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\varphi_3 \end{vmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, a is a zero point of Φ_c^1 with multiplicity ≥ 2. Thus, for each $j \in \{1,\dots,q\}\setminus\{j_0\}$, there exists an analytic set $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ with codimension ≥ 2 such that $v_{\Phi_c^1} \geq 2$ on

$$\left\{z : v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}(z) > 0\right\}\setminus N. \tag{4}$$

By (3) and (4), we have

$$2\sum_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j) + N^{k)}_{p-1,f_1}(r,H_{j_0}) \leq N(r,v_{\Phi_c^1}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r,A).$$

Similarly, we have

$$2\sum_{j=1,j\neq j_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j) + N^{k)}_{p-1,f_i}(r,H_{j_0}) \leq N(r,v_{\Phi_c^1}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r,A), \quad i=1,2,3. \tag{5}$$

Let a be an arbitrary zero point of some $F_{ic}^{j_0}$, $a \notin f_i^{-1}(H_c)$, say $i=1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_c^1 = \big(F_{2c}^{j_0} - F_{3c}^{j_0}\big)F_{1c}^{j_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}}\Big) + \big(F_{3c}^{j_0} - F_{1c}^{j_0}\big)F_{2c}^{j_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}}\Big) \\ + \big(F_{1c}^{j_0} - F_{2c}^{j_0}\big)F_{3c}^{j_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

So we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) \leq 1 + \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a), i=2,3\} \leq 1 + v_{\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}}}(a) + v_{\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}}(a).$$

Furthermore, if $0 < v_{F^{j_0}_{1c}}(a) \leq k$ $\big($and, hence, $v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_{j_0})}(a) > 0\big)$ and $a \notin A$, then by (3) we may assume that $v_{\frac{1}{\Phi^1_c}}(a) = 0$ (outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2). (7)
Let a be an arbitrary pole of all $F^{j_0}_{ic}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By (6) we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi^1_c}}(a) \leq \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}(a), i = 1, 2, 3\} + 1 < \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}(a) \tag{8}$$

It follows from (6) that a pole of Φ^1_c is a zero or a pole of some $F^{j_0}_{ic}$. Thus, by (6), (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N\Big(r, v_{\frac{1}{\Phi^1_c}}\Big) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} N\Big(r, v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\overline{N}\big(r, v_{F^{j_0}_{ic}}\big) - \overline{N}^{k)}\big(r, v_{F^{j_0}_{ic}}\big)\Big) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} N\Big(r, v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}\Big) + \frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{3} N\big(r, v_{F^{j_0}_{ic}}\big) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} N\big(r, v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}\big) + \frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{i=1}^{3} T_{F^{j_0}_{ic}}(r) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} N\big(r, v_{\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}}\big) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1_c = F^{j_0}_{1c}\Big[F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{2c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{2c}}\Big)\Big] \\ + F^{j_0}_{2c}\Big[F^{j_0}_{1c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{1c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{3c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{3c}}\Big)\Big] \\ + F^{j_0}_{3c}\Big[F^{j_0}_{2c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{2c}}\Big) - F^{j_0}_{1c}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{1c}}\Big)\Big] \end{aligned}$$

so $m(r, \Phi^1_c) \leq \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} m(r, F^{j_0}_{ic}) + 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} m\Big(r, F^{j_0}_{ic}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}\Big)\Big) + 0(1)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$m\Big(r, F^{j_0}_{ic}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\Big(\frac{1}{F^{j_0}_{ic}}\Big)\Big) = o\big(T_{F^{j_0}_{ic}}(r)\big)$$

Thus, we get

$$m(r,\Phi_c^1)\le\sum_{i=1}^{3}m(r,F_{ic}^{j_0})+o(T(r)), \tag{10}$$

(note that $T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r)\le T_{f_i}(r)\ +O(1)$).
By (9) , (10) and by the First Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(r,v_{\Phi_c^1}) &\le T_{\Phi_c^1}(r)+O(1)=N\big(r,v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}\big)+m(r,\Phi_c^1)+O(1)\\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(N\big(r,v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j0}}}\big)+m(r,F_{ic}^{j_0})\Big)+\frac{1}{k+1}T(r)+3\bar{\bar{N}}(r,A)+o(T(r))\\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{3}T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r)+\frac{1}{k+1}T(r)+3\bar{\bar{N}}(r,A)+o(T(r))\\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{3}T_{f_i}(r)+\frac{1}{k+1}T(r)+3\bar{\bar{N}}(r,A)+o(T(r))\\
&= \frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r)+3\bar{\bar{N}}(r,A)+o(T(r)).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By (5) and (11) we get Lemma 2. □

The following lemma is a variant of the Second Main Theorem without taking account of multiplicities of order $>k$ in the counting functions.

Lemma 3. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q (q\ge n+2)$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ in general position. Take a positive integer k with $\frac{qn}{q-n-1}\le k\le+\infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_f(r) &\le \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}\sum_{j=1}^{q}N_{n,f}^{k)}(r,H_j)+o(T_f(r))\\
&\le \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}_f^{k)}(r,H_j)+o(T_f(r))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $r>1$ except a set E of finite Lebesgue measure.

Proof. By the First and the Second Main Theorems, we have

$$(q-n-1)T_f(r)\le\sum_{j=1}^{q}N_{n,f}(r,H_j)+o\big(T_f(r)\big)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le \frac{k}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N^{k)}_{n,f}(r,H_j)+\frac{n}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N_f(r,H_j)+o\big(T_f(r)\big)\\&\le \frac{k}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N^{k)}_{n,f}(r,H_j)+\frac{qn}{k+1}T_f(r)+o\big(T_f(r)\big),\ \ r\notin E,\end{aligned}$$

which impies that

$$\Big(q-n-1-\frac{qn}{k+1}\Big)T_f(r)\le \frac{k}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N^{k)}_{n,f}(r,H_j)+o\big(T_f(r)\big)\ .$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}T_f(r)\ &\le\ \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N^{k)}_{n,f}(r,H_j)+o(T_f(r))\\&\le\ \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}\sum_{j=1}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f}(r,H_j)+o(T_f(r))\qquad\square\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that there exist three distinct mappings $f_1,f_2,f_3\in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q,f,p)$. Denote by Q the set which contains all indices $j\in\{1,...,q\}$ satisfying $\Phi^l\big(F^j_{1c},F^j_{2c},F^j_{3c}\big)\not\equiv 0$ for some $c\in\mathcal{C}$ and some $l\in\{1,...,m\}$. We now prove that

$$\#(\{1,...,q\}\backslash Q)\ge 3n-1. \tag{12}$$

For the proof of (12) we distinguish three cases:

Case 1. $1\le n\le 3, q=3n+1, p=2, k\ge 23n$.
Suppose that (12) does not hold, then $\#Q\ge 3$. For each $j_0\in Q$, by Lemma 2 (with $A=\emptyset$, $p=2$) we have

$$2\sum_{j=1,j\ne i_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j)+\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})\le\frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r)+o(T(r))\ ,\ i=1,2,3. \tag{13}$$

By (13) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\Big(q-n-1-\frac{qn}{k+1}\Big)T_{f_i}(r) \le \frac{nk}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j)+o\big(T_{f_i}(r)\big)$$
$$\le\ \frac{nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2}T(r)+\frac{nk}{2(k+1)}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})+o\big(T(r)\big), i=1,2,3.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\Big(q-n-1-\frac{qn}{k+1}\Big)T(r) \le \frac{3nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2}T(r)+\frac{nk}{2(k+1)}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})+o\big(T(r)\big),$$

which implies

$$\big[2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2-2qn(k+1)-3nk(k+2)\big]T(r)$$
$$\le nk(k+1)\sum_{i=1}^{3}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})+o(T(r)) = 3nk(k+1)\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})+o(T(r))\ .$$

Hence, we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\notin E}\frac{\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_0})}{T(r)} \ge \frac{2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2-2qn(k+1)-3nk(k+2)}{3nk(k+1)}$$
$$= \frac{k^2-6nk-6n+2}{3k(k+1)}\ ,\ i\in\{1,2,3\}. \tag{14}$$

Set

$$A_i := \big\{r>1 : T_{f_i}(r)=min\big\{T_{f_1}(r),T_{f_2}(r),T_{f_3}(r)\big\}\big\},\quad i\in\{1,2,3\}.$$

Then $A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3=(1,+\infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the Lebesgue measure of A_1 is infinite. By (14) we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_{j_0})}{T_{f_1}(r)} \ge \frac{k^2-6nk-6n+2}{k(k+1)}\ , j_0\in Q.$$

Take three distinct indices $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in Q$ (note that $\#Q\ge 3$). Then we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_{j_1})+\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_{j_2})+\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_{j_3})}{T_{f_1}(r)} \ge \frac{3(k^2-6nk-6n+2)}{k(k+1)},$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}{T_{f_1}(r)}\geq\frac{3(k^2-6nk-6n+2)}{k(k+1)}\ . \tag{15}$$

Since $f_1\not\equiv f_2$ there exists $c\in\mathcal{C}$ such that $\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H_c)}\not\equiv\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H_c)}$. Indeed, otherwise by Lemma 1 we have that $\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H)}\equiv\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H)}$ for all hyperplanes H in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In particular $\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H_j)}\equiv\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H_j)}$ for all $j=2,...,n+1$. We choose homogeneous coordinates $(\omega_0:\cdots:\omega_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $H_j=\{\omega_j=0\}$ $(1\leq j\leq n+1)$ and take reduced representations: $f_1=(f_{1_1}:\cdots:f_{1_{n+1}})$, $f_2=(f_{2_1}:\cdots:f_{2_{n+1}})$. Then

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_1}}=\dfrac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_1}}\\ (j=2,\ldots,n+1)\end{cases}\quad\Rightarrow\quad\frac{f_{1_1}}{f_{2_1}}=\cdots=\frac{f_{1_{n+1}}}{f_{2_{n+1}}}\Rightarrow f_1\equiv f_2.$$

This is a contradiction.

Since $dim\ (f_i^{-1}(H_1)\cap f_i^{-1}(H_c))\leq m-2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\frac{(f_i,H_1)}{(f_i,H_c)}}(r)&=\int\limits_{S(r)}\log\left(|(f_i,H_1)|^2+|(f_i,H_c)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma\quad+O(1)\\&\leq\int\limits_{S(r)}\log\ \|f_i\|\sigma+O(1)=T_{f_i}(r)+O(1)\ ,\ i=1,2,3.\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_1=f_2$ on $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{q}\left\{z:v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}(z)>0\right\}$ and $dim\left\{z:v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_i)}(z)>0\ \ and\ \ v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}(z)>0\right\}\leq m-2$ for all $i\neq j$, ? ?we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)\leq N\big(r,v_{\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H_c)}-\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H_c)}}\big)\leq T_{\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H_c)}-\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H_c)}}(r)\ \ +0(1)\\&\leq T_{\frac{(f_1,H_1)}{(f_1,H_c)}}(r)+T_{\frac{(f_2,H_1)}{(f_2,H_c)}}(r)+0(1)\leq T_{f_1}(r)+T_{f_2}(r)+0(1),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{T_{f_1}(r)+T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}\geq 1.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(q-n-1-\frac{qn}{k+1}\Big)T_{f_i}(r) &\le \frac{nk}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j)+o\big(T_{f_i}(r)\big)\\ &= \frac{nk}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)+o\big(T_{f_i}(r)\big)\ ,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty\ r\notin E}\frac{T_{f_i}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}\le\frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}\ ,\ i=1,2,3\ .$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{T_{f_1}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}=\limsup_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\Big(\frac{T_{f_1}(r)+T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}-\frac{T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}\Big)$$

$$\ge\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{T_{f_1}(r)+T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}-\limsup_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{T_{f_2}(r)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}\ge 1-\frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{r\to\infty\ r\in A_1\setminus E}\frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)}{T_{f_1}(r)} &\le \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn-nk}\\ &= \frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n} \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

By (15) and (16) we have

$$\frac{3(k^2-6nk-6n+2)}{k(k+1)}\le\frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n}.$$

This contradicts $k\ge 23n$. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

Case 2. $4 \le n \le 6$, $q = 3n$, $p = 2$, $k \ge \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$.
Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists $j_0 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 2$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j\neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_{j_0}) \le \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \ , \ i = 1, 2, 3 \ .$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1, j\neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \ &\ge \ \frac{(2n-2)(k+1) - (3n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{3n} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \ &\ge \ \frac{(2n-1)(k+1) - 3n^2}{nk} T_{f_i}(r),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j\neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \ge \frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r)$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \le \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \ , \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T(r) \le \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}((4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n)\, T(r) \le & \quad \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ \le & \quad 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $k + 1 \le \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

Case 3. $n \geq 7$, $q = 3n-1$, $p = 1$, $k \geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$.
Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists $j_0 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \ , \ i = 1, 2, 3$$

(note that $N_{0,f_i}^{k)}(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$). On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq 2 \frac{(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r)$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{2[(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n)]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \ ,$$

which implies

$$((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T(r) \leq & \quad \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ \leq & \quad 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n \leq 3n(k+1)$$

implying

$$k + 1 \leq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

So, for any case we have $\#(\{1, \ldots, q\} \setminus Q) \geq 3n - 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $1, \ldots, 3n-1 \notin Q$. We have
$\Phi^l\big(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j\big) \equiv 0$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $l \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, 3n-1\}$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Hence, $\Phi^l\big(F^j_{1c}, F^j_{2c}, F^j_{3c}\big) \equiv 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$, $l \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, 3n-1\}$. In particular (for $H_c = H_i$) we have

$$\Phi^l\left(\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)},\ \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)},\ \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)}\right) \equiv 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n-1, \quad l \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. (17)

In the following we distinguish between the cases $n = 1$ and $n \geq 2$.

Case 1. If $n = 1$, then $a_j := H_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are distinct points in $\mathbb{C}P^1$. We have that

$$g_1 := \frac{(f_1, a_1)}{(f_1, a_2)},\ \ g_2 := \frac{(f_2, a_1)}{(f_2, a_2)},\ \ g_3 := \frac{(f_3, a_1)}{(f_3, a_2)}$$

are distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions. By (17) and by Theorem 2.2, there exist constants α, β such that

$$g_2 = \alpha g_1 \quad , \ \ g_3 = \beta g_1\ , (\alpha, \beta \notin \{1, \infty, 0\}, \alpha \neq \beta) \tag{18}$$

We have $v_{(f_1,a_3)} \geq k+1$ on $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$: Indeed, otherwise there exists z_0 such that $0 < v_{(f_1,a_3)}(z_0) \leq k$. Then $v^{k)}_{(f_i,a_3)}(z_0) > 0$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We have $(f_1, a_3)(z_0) = (f_2, a_3)(z_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \ f_1(z_0) = f_2(z_0) = a^*_3$, where we denote $a^*_j := (a_{j_1} : -a_{j_0})$ for every point $a_j = (a_{j_0} : a_{j_1}) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. So $g_1(z_0) = g_2(z_0) = \dfrac{(a^*_3, a_1)}{(a^*_3, a_2)} \neq 0,\ \infty$ (note that $a_3 \neq a_1$, $a_3 \neq a_2$). So, by (18) we have $\alpha = 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus $v_{(f_1,a_3)} \geq k+1$ on $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$. Similarly, $v_{(f_i,a_j)} \geq k+1$ on $\{z : (f_i, a_j)(z) = 0\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{3, 4\}$.

Set $b_1 = \alpha\dfrac{(a^*_3, a_1)}{(a^*_3, a_2)}$, $\ b_2 = \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}\dfrac{(a^*_3, a_1)}{(a^*_3, a_2)}$, $\ b_3 = \dfrac{(a^*_3, a_1)}{(a^*_3, a_2)}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{g_2-b_3} &= v_{\frac{(f_2,a_3)(a^*_1,a_2)}{(f_2,a_2)(a^*_3,a_2)}} \geq k+1 \ \text{ on } \ \{z : (g_2 - b_3)(z) = 0\},\\
v_{g_2-b_1} = \ v_{g_1-\frac{1}{\alpha}b_1} &= v_{\frac{(f_1,a_3)(a^*_1,a_2)}{(f_1,a_2)(a^*_3,a_2)}} \geq k+1 \ \text{ on } \ \{z : (g_2 - b_1)(z) = 0\}, \text{and}\\
v_{g_2-b_2} = \ v_{g_3-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}b_2} &= v_{\frac{(f_3,a_3)(a^*_1,a_2)}{(f_3,a_2)(a^*_3,a_2)}} \geq k+1 \ \text{ on } \ \{z : (g_2 - b_2)(z) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the points b_1, b_2, b_3 are distinct, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}T_{g_2}(r) &\le \sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{N}\big(r, v_{g_2-b_j}\big) + o\big(T_{g_2}(r)\big)\\ &\le \frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{j=1}^{3} N\big(r, v_{g_2-b_j}\big) + o\big(T_{g_2}(r)\big)\\ &\le \frac{3}{k+1}T_{g_2}(r) + o\big(T_{g_2}(r)\big)\ .\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts $k \ge 23$.

Case 2. If $n \ge 2$, for each $1 \le i \ne j \le 3n-1$, by (17) and Theorem 2.2., there exists a constant α_{ij} such that

$$\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} \tag{19}$$

We now prove that $\alpha_{ij} = 1$ for all $1 \le i \ne j \le 3n-1$. Indeed, if there exists $\alpha_{i_0j_0} \ne 1$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\frac{(f_2, H_{j_0})}{(f_2, H_{i_0})} = \alpha_{i_0j_0}\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}$. On the other hand $f_1 = f_2$ on $\Omega := \bigcup_{j=1}^{q}\big\{z : v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}(z) > 0\big\}$. Hence, $(f_1, H_{j_0}) = (f_2, H_{j_0}) = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$. So we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j\ne i_0}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r, H_j) \le N\Big(r, v_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}\Big) + \Big(\overline{N}\big(r, v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big) - \overline{N}^{k)}\big(r, v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)\Big).$$

Thus, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q-n-2)T_{f_1}(r) &\le \sum_{j=1, j\ne i_0}^{q} N_{n,f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r))\\ &\le n\sum_{j=1, j\ne i_0}^{q} N_{1,f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)+\frac{n}{k+1}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}N_{f_1}(r,H_j)+o(T_{f_1}(r))\\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}N\Big(r,v_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}\Big)+\frac{nk}{k+1}\Big(\overline{N}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)-\overline{N}^{k)}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}T_{f_1}(r)+o(T_{f_1}(r))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}T_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}(r)+\frac{nk}{(k+1)^2}N_{f_1}(r,H_{i_0})+\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}T_{f_1}(r)+o\big(T_{f_1}(r)\big)\\
&\leq \Big(\frac{nk}{k+1}+\frac{nk}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}\Big)T_{f_1}(r)+o\big(T_{f_1}(r)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $(q-n-2)\leq \dfrac{nk}{k+1}+\dfrac{nk}{(k+1)^2}+\dfrac{(q-1)n}{k+1}\leq n+\dfrac{qn}{k}$. This contradicts any of the following cases:

i) $2\leq n\leq 3,\ \ q=3n+1$ and $k\geq 23n$,

ii) $4\leq n\leq 6,\ \ q=3n$ and $k\geq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$,

iii) $n\geq 7,\ \ q=3n-1$ and $k\geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$.

Thus $\alpha_{ij}=1$ for all $1\leq i\neq j\leq 3n-1$.

By (19), for $i=3n-1, j\in\{1,\dots,3n-2\}$, without loss of generality, we may asssume that

$$\frac{(f_1,H_j)}{\big(f_1,H_{3n-1}\big)}=\frac{(f_2,H_j)}{\big(f_2,H_{3n-1}\big)},\ j=1,\dots,n: \tag{20}$$

For $1\leq s<v\leq 3$, denote by L_{sv} the set of all $j\in\{1,...,3n-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s,H_j)}{(f_s,H_{3n-1})}=\frac{(f_v,H_j)}{(f_v,H_{3n-1})}$. By (19) we have $L_{12}\cup L_{23}\cup L_{13}=\{1,...,3n-2\}$. So by Dirichlet we have that one of the three sets contains at least n different indices, which are, without loss of generality, $j=1,...,n$, which proves (20).

We choose homogeneous coordinates $(\omega_0:\cdots:\omega_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $H_j=\{\omega_j=0\}$ $(1\leq j\leq n)$, $H_{3n-1}=\{\omega_0=0\}$ and take reduced representations: $f_1=(f_{1_0}:\cdots:f_{1_n})$, $f_2=(f_{2_0}:\cdots:f_{2_n})$. Then by (20) we have

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_0}}=\dfrac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_0}}\\ (j=1,\dots,n)\end{cases}\quad\Rightarrow\quad \frac{f_{1_0}}{f_{2_0}}=\cdots=\frac{f_{1_n}}{f_{2_n}}\ \Rightarrow f_1\equiv f_2.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, for any case we have that f_1, f_2, f_3 can not be distinct. Hence, the Proof of Theorem 1 is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $\#F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1) \geq 3$. Take arbitrarily three distinct mappings $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$. We have to prove that $f_s \times f_v : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly degenerate for all $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$.

Denote by Q the set which contains all indices $j \in \{1, ..., q\}$ satisfing $\Phi^l\big(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j\big) \not\equiv 0$ for some $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We distinguish between the two cases n odd and n even:

Case 1. If n is odd, then $q = \frac{5(n+1)}{2}$.
We now pove that: $Q = \emptyset$. (21)

Indeed, otherwise there exist $j_0 \in Q$. Then by Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),\ i = 1, 2, 3\ .$$

(note that $N_{0,f_i}^{k)}(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$). On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{2[(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r)\ ,\ i = 1, 2, 3\ .$$

Hence, we have

$$((2q - 2n - 4)(k+1) - 2(q-1)n)\, T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{(k+2)nk}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r))\ , i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Big((2q - 2n - 4)(k+1) - 2(q-1)n\Big) T(r) \leq & \quad \frac{3(k+2)nk}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ \leq & \quad 3n(k+1)T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(2q - 2n - 4)(k+1) - 2(q-1)n \leq 3n(k+1)$$

implying

$$k + 1 \leq (5n + 3)n.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (21).

Case 2. If n is even, then $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$.

We now prove that $\#Q \leq 1$. (22)

Indeed, suppose that this assertion does not hold, then there exist two distinct indices $j_0, j_1 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \qquad , \ i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies that, for i=1,2,3

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^{q} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \ , \ i &= 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &- \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{3} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j), (23) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 (with $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$), we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{k} T_{f_i}(r) \ , \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{3} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) + o(T(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{nk} T(r) \qquad (24)$$

By (23) and (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{(5n+2)n(k+1) - 3n}{nk(k+1)} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{5n+2}{k} T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j)-\ \frac{1}{n}N^{k)}_{n,f_i}(r,H_j)\geq 0 \text{ for all } i\in\{1,2,3\}, j\in\{1,...,q\}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_j)-\ \frac{1}{n}N^{k)}_{n,f_i}(r,H_j)\right)\ \leq\frac{5n+2}{k}T(r)+o(T(r))\ ,\ j\in\{1,...,q\}\backslash\{j_0\}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\ \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_1})-\ \frac{1}{n}N^{k)}_{n,f_i}(r,H_{j_1})\right)\ \leq\frac{5n+2}{k}T(r)+o(T(r))\qquad(25)$$

Set $A_i:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^m: v_{(f_i,H_{j_1})}(z)=1\}$ for $i=1,2,3$. For each $i\in\{1,2,3\}$, we have $\overline{A}_i\setminus A_i\subseteq \operatorname{sing}f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. Indeed, otherwise there existed $a\in\left(\overline{A}_i\setminus A_i\right)\cap \operatorname{reg}f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. Then $p_0:=v_{(f_i,H_{j_1})}(a)\geq 2$. Since a is a regular point of $f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ we can choose nonzero holomorphic functions h and u on a neighborhood U of a such that dh and u have no zeroes and $(f_i,H_{j_1})\equiv h^{p_0}u$ on U. Since $a\in\overline{A}_i$ there exists $b\in A_i\cap U$. Then, we get $1=v_{(f_i,H_{j_1})}(b)=v_{h^{p_0}u}(b)=p_0\geq 2$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we get that $\overline{A}_i\setminus A_i\subseteq \operatorname{sing}f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$.

Set $B:=A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3$. Then $\overline{B}\setminus B\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^{3}\operatorname{sing}f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. This means that $\overline{B}\setminus B$ is included in an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2. So we have

$$(n-1)\bar{N}(r,\overline{B})\leq\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(n\ \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r,H_{j_1})-\ N^{k)}_{n,f_i}(r,H_{j_1})\right).$$

By (25) we have

$$\bar{N}(r,\overline{B})\leq\frac{(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k}T(r)+o(T(r)),$$

where we note that $n\geq 2$, since n is even. It is clear that $min\{v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_{j_1})},2\}=min\{v^{k)}_{(f_2,H_{j_1})},2\}=min\{v^{k)}_{(f_3,H_{j_1})},2\}$ on $\mathbb{C}^m\backslash\overline{B}(\subseteq\mathbb{C}^m\diagdown B)$.

By Lemma 2 (with $A = \overline{B}$, $p = 2$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{j=1, j\neq j_1}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_{j_1}) &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1}T(r) + 4\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k}\right)T(r) + o(T(r)) \ , \ (26) \end{aligned}$$

(note that $j_1 \in Q$). By Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1, j\neq j_1}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \ , \text{and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$2\sum_{j=1, j\neq j_1}^{q} \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}^{k)}_{f_i}(r, H_{j_1}) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \tag{27}$$

By (26) and (27) we have

$$\frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \left(\frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k}\right)T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} ((3n+1)(k+1) - (5n+3)n)\, T(r) \leq & \left(\frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)}\right)T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ \leq & \quad (3n(k+1) + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)})T(r) + o(T(r)), \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$k + 1 \leq (5n+3)n + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)}.$$

This contradicts $k \geq (65n+171)n$, $n \geq 2$. Hence, we have $\#Q \leq 1$. So we get (22).

By (21) and (22) we have $\#(\{1,...,q\}\setminus Q)\geq q-1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $1,...,q-1\notin Q$. For any $j\in\{1,\ldots,q-1\}$ we have
$\Phi^l\big(F^j_{1c},F^j_{2c},F^j_{3c}\big)\equiv 0$ for all $c\in\mathcal{C}$, $l\in\{1,...,m\}$.
On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Hence, we get that $\Phi^l\big(F^j_{1c},F^j_{2c},F^j_{3c}\big)\equiv 0$ for all $c\in\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$, $l\in\{1,...,m\}$, $j\in\{1,\ldots,q-1\}$. In particular (for $H_c=H_i$), we get

$$\Phi^l\left(\frac{(f_1,H_j)}{(f_1,H_i)},\ \frac{(f_2,H_j)}{(f_2,H_i)},\ \frac{(f_3,H_j)}{(f_3,H_i)}\right)\equiv 0$$

for all $1\leq i\neq j\leq q-1,\quad l\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$.

For each $1\leq i\neq j\leq q-1$, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant α_{ij} such that

$$\frac{(f_2,H_j)}{(f_2,H_i)}=\alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_1,H_j)}{(f_1,H_i)}\text{ or }\frac{(f_3,H_j)}{(f_3,H_i)}=\alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_1,H_j)}{(f_1,H_i)}\text{ or }\frac{(f_3,H_j)}{(f_3,H_i)}=\alpha_{ij}\frac{(f_2,H_j)}{(f_2,H_i)}\ .$$

We now prove that
$\alpha_{ij}=1$ for all $1\leq i\neq j\leq q-1.$ (28)
Indeed, if there exists $\alpha_{i_0j_0}\neq 1$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\dfrac{(f_2,H_{j_0})}{(f_2,H_{i_0})}=\alpha_{i_0j_0}\dfrac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}$. On the other hand, we have $f_1=f_2$ on $D:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{q}\big\{z: v^{k)}_{(f_1,H_j)}>0\big\}$. Hence, we get $(f_1,H_{j_0})=(f_2,H_{j_0})=0$ on $D\setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$. So we have

$$\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)\leq N\Big(r,v_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}\Big)+\Big(\overline{N}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)-\overline{N}^{k)}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)\Big).$$

Thus, by the First and the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q-n-2)T_{f_1}(r)&\leq\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}N_{n,f_1}(r,H_j)+o(T_{f_1}(r))\\&\leq n\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}N_{1,f_1}(r,H_j)+o(T_{f_1}(r))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}\overline{N}^{k)}_{f_1}(r,H_j)+\frac{n}{k+1}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i_0}^{q}N_{f_1}(r,H_j)+o(T_{f_1}(r))\\
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}N\Big(r,v_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}\Big)+\frac{nk}{k+1}\Big(\overline{N}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)-\overline{N}^{k)}\big(r,v_{(f_1,H_{i_0})}\big)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}T_{f_1}(r)+o(T_{f_1}(r))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}T_{\frac{(f_1,H_{j_0})}{(f_1,H_{i_0})}}(r)+\frac{nk}{(k+1)^2}N_{f_1}(r,H_{i_0})+\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}T_{f_1}(r)+o\big(T_{f_1}(r)\big)\\
&\leq \Big(\frac{nk}{k+1}+\frac{nk}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}\Big)T_{f_1}(r)+o\big(T_{f_1}(r)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $(q-n-2)\leq \frac{nk}{k+1}+\frac{nk}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}\leq n+\frac{nq}{k}$. This contradicts $q=\left[\frac{5(n+1)}{2}\right]$, $k\geq(65n+171)n$. Thus, we get that $\alpha_{ij}=1$ for all $1\leq i\neq j\leq q-1$.

For $1\leq s<v\leq 3$, denote by L_{sv} the set of all $j\in\{1,...,q-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s,H_j)}{(f_s,H_{q-1})}=\frac{(f_v,H_j)}{(f_v,H_{q-1})}$. By (28) , we have that $L_{12}\cup L_{23}\cup L_{13}=\{1,...,q-2\}$.

If there exists some $L_{sv}=\emptyset$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $L_{13}=\emptyset$. Then $L_{12}\cup L_{23}=\{1,...,q-2\}$. Since $q=\left[\frac{5(n+1)}{2}\right]$ we have that $\#L_{12}\geq n$ or $\#L_{23}\geq n$. We may assume that $\#L_{12}\geq n$, and furthermore $1,...,n\in L_{12}$. Then $\frac{(f_1,H_j)}{(f_1,H_{q-1})}=\frac{(f_2,H_j)}{(f_2,H_{q-1})}$ for all $j\in\{1,...,n\}$, so $f_1\equiv f_2$ (as in the proof of Theorem 1). This is a contradiction.

Thus, we have $L_{sv}\neq\emptyset$ for all $1\leq s<v\leq 3$. Then for any $1\leq s<v\leq 3$, there exists $j\in\{1,...,q-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s,H_j)}{(f_s,H_{q-1})}=\frac{(f_v,H_j)}{(f_v,H_{q-1})}$. Hence, we finally get that $f_s\times f_v:\mathbb{C}^m\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}P^n\times\mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly degenerate. We thus have completed the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

References

[1] H. Cartan, *Un nouveau théorème d'unicité relatif aux fonctions méromorphes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **188** (1929), 301-330.

[2] H. Fujimoto, *The uniqueness problem of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space*, Nagoya Math. J. **58** (1975), 1-23.

[3] H. Fujimoto, *Nonintegrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $P^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \ldots \times P^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$*, Japan. J. Math. **11** (1985), 233-264.

[4] H. Fujimoto, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory*, Nagoya Math. J. **152** (1998), 131-152.

[5] S. Ji, *Uniqueness problem without multiplicities in value distribution theory*, Pacific J. Math. **135** (1988), 323-348.

[6] R. Nevanlinna, *Einige Eideutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta. Math. **48** (1926), 367-391.

[7] J. Noguchi, T.Ochiai, *Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **80** American Methematical Society, Providence, RI 1990.

[8] B. Shiffman, *Introduction to the Carlson-Griffiths equidistribution theory,* Lecture Note in Math. **981**, Springer–Verlag, 1983.

[9] L. Smiley, *Geometric conditions for unicity of holomorphic curves*, Contemp. Math. **25** (1983), pp. 149-154.

[10] N. Steinmetz, *A uniqueness theorem for three meromorphic functions,* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. **13** (1988), 93-110.

[11] G. Dethloff, Tran Van Tan, *Uniqueness problem for meromorphic mappings with truncated multiplicities and moving targets*, to appear in Nayoya Math. J.

Gerd Dethloff
Université de Bretagne Occidentale
UFR Sciences et Techniques
Département de Mathématiques
6, avenue Le Gorgeu, BP 452
29275 Brest Cedex, France
e-mail: gerd.dethloff@univ-brest.fr

Tran Van Tan
Department of Mathematics
Hanoi University of Education
Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam
e-mail: tranvantanhn@yahoo.com